An overview of the systematics of the Sordariomycetes based on a four-gene phylogeny

Ning Zhang

Department of Plant Pathology, NYSAES, Cornell University, Geneva, New York 14456

Lisa A. Castlebury

Systematic Botany & Mycology Laboratory, USDA-ARS, Beltsville, Maryland 20705

Andrew N. Miller

Center for Biodiversity, Illinois Natural History Survey, Champaign, Illinois 61820

Sabine M. Huhndorf

Department of Botany, The Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, Illinois 60605

Conrad L. Schoch

Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon 97331

Keith A. Seifert

Biodiversity (Mycology and Botany), Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, K1A 0C6 Canada

Amy Y. Rossman

Systematic Botany & Mycology Laboratory, USDA-ARS, Beltsville, Maryland 20705

Jack D. Rogers

Department of Plant Pathology, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington 99164

Jan Kohlmeyer

Brigitte Volkmann-Kohlmeyer

Institute of Marine Sciences, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Morehead City, North Carolina 28557

Gi-Ho Sung¹

Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon 97331

Abstract: The Sordariomycetes is one of the largest classes in the Ascomycota, and the majority of its species are characterized by perithecial ascomata and inoperculate unitunicate asci. It includes more than 600 genera with over 3000 species and represents a wide range of ecologies including pathogens and endophytes of plants, animal pathogens and mycoparasites. To test and refine the classification of the Sordariomycetes *sensu* Eriksson (2006), the phylogenetic relationship among 106 taxa from 12 orders out

of 16 in the Sordariomycetes was investigated based on four nuclear loci (nSSU and nLSU rDNA, TEF and RPB2), using three species of the Leotiomycetes as outgroups. Three subclasses (i.e. Hypocreomycetidae, Sordariomycetidae and Xylariomycetidae) currently recognized in the classification are well supported with the placement of the Lulworthiales in either a basal group of the Sordariomycetes or a sister group of the Hypocreomycetidae. Except for the Microascales, our results recognize most of the orders as monophyletic groups. Melanospora species form a clade outside of the Hypocreales and are recognized as a distinct order in the Hypocreomycetidae. Glomerellaceae is excluded from the Phyllachorales and placed in Hypocreomycetidae incertae sedis. In the Sordariomycetidae, the Sordariales is a strongly supported clade and occurs within a well supported clade containing the Boliniales and Chaetosphaeriales. Aspects of morphology, ecology and evolution are discussed.

Key words: classification, ecology, evolution, Hypocreomycetidae, Sordariomycetidae, Xylariomycetidae

INTRODUCTION

The class Sordariomycetes is one of the largest monophyletic clades in the Ascomycota with more than 600 genera and 3000 known species (Kirk et al 2001). It includes most nonlichenized ascomycetes with perithecial (flask-shaped) or less frequently cleistothecial (nonostiolate) ascomata and inoperculate unitunicate or prototunicate asci (Alexopoulos et al 1996). The term "pyrenomycetes" was used to unite fungi with perithecial ascomata and unitunicate asci (Luttrell 1951). Its use was discontinued based on the placement of perithecial species outside the clade and the inclusion of species with prototunicate asci (e.g. Corollospora and Ophiostoma) to avoid confusion. In the current classification *sensu* Eriksson (2006) the Sordariomycetes comprises 16 orders in three subclasses (i.e. the Hypocreomycetidae, Sordariomycetidae and Xylariomycetidae) based on rDNA phylogenies.

Ecology and its importance.—Members of the Sordariomycetes are ubiquitous and cosmopolitan and function in almost all ecosystems as pathogens and

Accepted for publication 27 September 2006.

¹Corresponding author. E-mail: sungg@science.oregonstate.edu

endophytes of plants, arthropod and mammals, mycoparasites and saprobes involved in decomposition and nutrient cycling. Most plant pathogens in the Sordariomycetes are distributed in the Diaporthales, Hypocreales, Microascales, Ophiostomatales, Phyllachorales and Xylariales. These include the best known plant pathogens (e.g. Cryphonectria parasitica [the causal agent of chestnut blight], Magnaporthe grisea [the cause of rice blast], Ophiostoma ulmi and O. novoulmi [Dutch elm disease causal agents], Fusarium species and Rosellinia species [Alexopoulos et al 1996, Samuels and Blackwell 2001]). In addition to pathogens of plants the Sordariomycetes includes endophytes that live inside the above-ground parts of apparently healthy plants (Alexopoulos et al 1996). The best studied endophytes belong to the Hypocreales (e.g. Balansia and Epichloë), Xylariales (e.g. Nemania and Xylaria) and Colletotrichum. Their infected host plants benefit from increased drought resistance, reduced feeding by insects and limited pathogen infections.

Members of the Hypocreales, Ophiostomatales and Microascales often are associated with opportunistic infections of humans and other animals (e.g. Sporothrix schenkii, Fusarium solani species complex and Trichoderma spp.) (Gugnani et al 1976, Summerbell 2003). As symbionts of arthropods the Sordariomycetes comprise a diverse assemblage of species that range from antagonistic to mutualistic (Samuels and Blackwell 2001). Members of the Microascales and Ophiostomatales (e.g. Ceratocystis, Ophiostoma and Ambrosiella) are associated with bark beetles in fungal spore dispersal. Species of the Hypocreales (e.g. Cordyceps and Torrubiella) directly parasitize a broad range of arthropods. The Hypocreales also is known to be rich in mycoparasites. Most species of Hypomyces are parasitic on fleshy fungal fruit bodies such as mushrooms and large apothecia (Rogerson and Samuels 1989). Some species of Trichoderma, used alone or combined with other biocontrol agents, have been applied in agriculture for plant disease management as an alternative to pesticides (Harman et al 2004).

Saprobic Sordariomycetes function in the decomposition and nutrient cycling of plant litter including wood, herbaceous stems and dung. Important taxa include *Neurospora crassa*, the model organism widely used in molecular and genetic studies, and *Chaetomium*, an important cellulolytic organism responsible for the destruction of paper and fabrics. The Sordariomycetes also contains species known as producers of some of the most important fungal secondary metabolites. These include the trichothecene mycotoxins produced by many members of the Nectriaceae and the *Stachybotrys* clade and the ergot and other alkaloids produced by *Claviceps* and *Epichloë*. Marine fungi also are a good source of novel bioactive compounds (Bugni and Ireland 2004).

Morphology and classification.-The majority of Sordariomycetes produce perithecial ascomata (FIG. 1D-G). The shape, size, pigmentation, texture and position of ascomata were characters used in traditional taxonomy. For example the position of ascomata in relation to substrates was used in family delimitation of the Diaporthales by Barr (1978) but this classification was not supported by phylogenetic analyses using molecular characters (Castlebury et al 2002, Zhang and Blackwell 2001). A phylogenetic study by Miller and Huhndorf (2005) suggested that ascomal wall morphology is a better character than ascospore morphology in defining genera in the Sordariales. Nannfeldt (1932) and Luttrell (1951) first applied ontogenetic characters (FIG. 1F, G) in filamentous ascomycete classification. Although the ontogenetic characters do not always correspond well with molecular phylogenies, they are still informative characters in ordinal classification within the Sordariomycetes (Samuels and Blackwell 2001). The typical arrangement of asci in the Sordariomycetes is basal or peripheral in a hymenium (FIG. 1E). The presence or absence of an apical ring (amyloid or nonamyloid) is an important feature in Sordariomycetes classification (FIG. 1H-J). Asci of the Sordariomycetes typically are octosporous (FIG. 1K, M, N). Ascospore wall ornamentation has been used to delimit certain genera, but phylogenetic studies on the Melanosporales and Sordariales show that this character is prone to convergence and hence is phylogenetically uninformative. The Sordariomycetes is an anamorph-rich class, with significant diversity represented by hyphomycete and coelomycete species. Many species of the Hypocreales, Ophiostomatales and Chaetosphaeriales have two or more distinguishable anamorphs (synanamorphs). Hyphomycetes occur throughout the class, but coelomycete anamorphs also occur, most notably in the Glomerellaceae and Diaporthales. In common with teleomorph characters many characteristics used to delimit anamorph genera (e.g. variations in conidiomata, pigmentation, conidiophore branching and conidial septation) are homoplasic in the Sordariomycetes. Despite this, recognizable patterns of anamorph morphological characters often allow recognition of phylogenetic groups (Seifert and Gams 2001).

Recent comprehensive taxonomic studies of families and higher taxa of the Sordariomycetes were published by Barr (1990), Samuels and Blackwell (2001) and Eriksson (2006). The classification



FIG. 1. Morphology of species in the Sordariomycetes. A. *Hypocrea lutea* BPI 744483, perithecia embedded in stromata, Hypocreales, bar = 1 mm. B. *Xylaria longipes*, mature stromata growing on a moss covered hardwood log, Xylariales, bar = 1 cm. C. *Cordyceps scarabaeicola*, mature stromata growing on a scarabaeid pupa, Hypocreales, bar = 5 mm. D. *Valsella salicis* BPI 748461, longitudinal section of perithecia, Diaporthales, bar = 100 μ m. E. *Coniochaeta leucoplaca* SMH 2578, longitudinal section of perithecium, Coniochaetales, bar = 40 μ m. F. *Hypomyces lactifluorum* DAOM 83684, apical paraphyses in perithecium, Hypocreales, bar = 90 μ m. G. *Hypomyces lactifluorum* DAOM 83684, periphyses in perithecium, Hypocreales, bar = 11 μ m. H. *Jobellisia luteola* ANM 233, apical ring of ascus, Diaporthales, bar = 10 μ m. I. *Cainia desmazierei* DAOM 89953(a), apical ring of ascus, Xylariales, bar = 5 μ m. J. *Gaeumannomyces graminis* DAOM 19596, apical ring of ascus, Magnaporthaceae, bar = 9 μ m. K. *Coniochaeta leucoplaca* SMH 2578, asci with ascospores, Coniochaetales, bar = 10 μ m. L. *Hypocrea lutea* BPI 744503, asci and ascospores, Hypocreales, bar = 20 μ m. M. *Lasiosphaeria ovina* SMH 1538, asci with globose subapical globules and ascospores, Sordariales, bar = 40 μ m. N. *Bertia moriformis* ANM 264, ascus, Coronophorales, bar = 10 μ m. P. *Varicosporina ramulosa* JK 3907 (IMS), conidium, Halosphaeriales, bar = 5 μ m.

proposed by Barr (1990) was based on morphology while the other two were based on both morphological characters and sequences from the nuclear small subunit ribosomal RNA gene (nSSU rDNA). The Erysiphales and Coryneliales sensu Barr (1990) were excluded from the Sordariomycetes (Samuels and Blackwell 2001). Eriksson (2006) placed the Erysiphales in the Leotiomycetes, a sister taxon of the Sordariomycetes (see also Spatafora et al on Pezizomycotina, this issue). The extralimital pyrenomycete Laboulbeniales (Samuels and Blackwell 2001) has been recognized as a separate class (Eriksson 2006, Weir and Blackwell 2001). The main goals of this paper are twofold: to define monophyletic groups within the class and to infer the evolutionary relationships among major orders in the Sordariomycetes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Character and taxon sampling.-Genomic DNA extraction from specimens or cultures was performed according to standard methods as in Castlebury et al (2004). Amplifications and sequencing were performed for four nuclear gene regions (NS1-NS6 region of nSSU rDNA, LR0R-LR7 region of nLSU rDNA, 983f-2218r region of TEF, and 5-7 region of RPB2) under standard conditions using primers in the AFTOL Website (http://aftol.biology.duke.edu/pub/ primers/viewPrimers). A total of 106 taxa were sampled to represent 12 orders of the Sordariomycetes following the classification of Eriksson (2006). Due to the lack of data from protein-coding genes, the members of other orders (i.e. the Calosphaeriales, Meliolales, Phyllachorales and Trichosphaeriales) are not represented in this study. (For voucher information and GenBank accession numbers see SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE I).

Phylogenetic analyses.-Sequences were edited with the Seqmerge program in GCG software (Accelrys, San Diego, California). Sequences of each gene were aligned manually and the concatenated alignment was deposited in Tree-BASE (study No. SN2723). To detect incongruence among genes a 70% reciprocal MP bootstrap comparison (Mason-Gamer and Kellogg 1996) was used as a dataset of the 61 core taxa for which all four genes were available. Maximum and weighted parsimony (MP and WP) analyses were performed as described in Lutzoni et al (2004) on a combined dataset of the 106 taxa including taxa that were complete for at least two genes. Maximum likelihood (ML) analyses were conducted with Phyml (Guindon and Gascuel 2003) with the GTR+I+ Γ model. Bayesian analyses with Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm were performed with the GTR+I+ Γ model for each of eight partitions using MrBayes 3.0b4 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2001). Bayesian analysis with 10000000 generations was conducted in four chains with sampling every 100 generations. Ten independent analyses with 1 000 000 generations from different starting trees were performed to reconfirm the adequate log-likelihood convergence and mixing of chains. Nodal supports in MP, WP and ML analyses were

assessed by nonparametric bootstrapping (Felsenstein 1985). Nodal supports in the Bayesian analyses were examined by posterior probabilities from a 10 000 000 generation analysis. To examine potential impact of saturation in third codons of TEF and RPB2 on nodal supports in higher relationships, bootstrap proportions and posterior probabilities were reassessed after excluding the third codon positions of protein-coding genes.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data analyses.—The alignment for the phylogenetic analyses, after excluding ambiguously aligned regions, comprised 4514 base pairs, 1697 of which were parsimony informative. The reciprocal comparisons of 70% bootstrap trees did not reveal any incongruence (data not shown). The heuristic search in MP and WP analyses yielded four most parsimonious trees (MPTs) with 17501 steps (CI = 0.1858, RI = 0.5361) and one MPT with 29222.44 steps, respectively. ML heuristic search analysis resulted in a tree of -81968.65 log-likelihood. In all Bayesian analyses the log-likelihood was converged at approximately 30 000 generations and a 50% majority rule consensus tree was generated from the 10000000 generation analysis with the exclusion of the first 500 trees. The tree from WP analyses is provided (FIG. 2) with all bootstrap proportions (i.e. MP-BP, WP-BP and ML-BP) as well as the Bayesian posterior probabilities (PP) and the tree from the Bayesian analyses is presented (SUPPLEMENTARY FIG. 1). Internodes were considered strongly supported if they received all of bootstrap proportions (MP-BP, WP-BP and ML-BP) \geq 70% and posterior probabilities (PP) $\ge 95\%$ (Lutzoni et al 2004).

Systematics of the Sordariomycetes.-The four-gene phylogenetic analyses (FIG. 2) provide support for three major clades in the Sordariomycetes, corresponding to the three subclasses of Eriksson (2006). The Xylariomycetidae is placed as a basal group of the Hypocreomycetidae and the Sordariomycetidae, which are strongly supported after the exclusion of third codons in protein-coding genes. The Lulworthiales is basal to other Sordariomycetes according to the MP, WP and ML tree, but it is resolved as a sister taxon of the Hypocreomycetidae based on the Bayesian analyses (SUPPLEMENTARY FIG. 1). Because the four-gene phylogeny is largely consistent with the current classification, the ordinal relationships and characteristics of each clade are discussed below according to the classification of the Outline of Ascomycota (Eriksson 2006).

Xylariomycetidae.—This well supported monophyletic subclass contains a single order, the Xylariales, which



FIG. 2. Phylogenetic tree of the Sordariomycetes. The phylogeny is constructed from single most parsimonious tree from weighted parsimony analyses. Bootstrap proportions (MP-BP, WP-BP and ML-BP) of \geq 70% and posterior probabilities (PP) of \geq 95% are provided for the corresponding internodes. Bootstrap proportions and posterior probabilities in circles were calculated with the exclusion of the third codon positions in TEF and RPB2. The internodes that are supported by all bootstrap proportions and posterior probabilities are shown as a thicker line. The name of taxa (e.g. subclasses, orders, families) is provided to the right of species names.

is characterized by well developed stromata, dark colored perithecia, persistent asci often with amyloid apical rings and true paraphyses. Most species of the Xylariales are saprobes or plant parasites in terrestrial habitats. While most marine species are classified in the Halosphaeriales and Lulworthiales (Spatafora et al 1998) the sampled species, Anthostomella torosa, represents marine species in the Xylariales (Kohlmeyer and Volkmann-Kohlmeyer 2002). The Xylariales is one of the largest orders in the Sordariomycetes and includes more than 800 species in eight families according to the Dictionary of the Fungi (Kirk et al 2001). Some of its families are larger and more diverse than small orders in the class. Therefore we will discuss each family to not oversimplify the picture.

Family Xylariaceae is a large assemblage and the type family of the Xylariales. Perithecia are embedded in stromata, asci usually possess amyloid apical rings, and mature ascospores are usually unicellular with a prominent germ slit. A number of recent reports have dealt with phylogenetics within the family (e.g. Sánchez-Ballesteros et al 2000, Triebel et al 2005 and Hsieh et al 2005). Anamorphs of the Xylariaceae have sympodially proliferating conidiogenous cells with characteristic scars, and holoblastic, single-celled conidia with detachment scars corresponding with those on the conidiogenous cells. Variation in conidiophore branching and the nature of the conidiogenous cell proliferation (ampulliform, irregularly swollen, geniculate) allows the distinction of anamorph genera such as Nodulisporium and Geniculosporium and several others, which often delimit monophyletic groups (Ju and Rogers 1996). The Amphisphaeriaceae in the sense of Müller and von Arx (1973) included taxa that greatly resemble members of the Xylariaceae but with ascospores that (mostly) lack defined germination sites. Barr (1990) presented a much more restricted circumscription of the family, and Kang et al (2002) published a molecular-based phylogeny including the restricted group. The versicolored, multiappendaged conidia of the Pestalotia complex seem to be a synapomorphic character for these coelomycetous anamorphs of the Amphisphaeriaceae (Kang et al 2002).

Family Cainiaceae was established for a small assemblage of monocot inhabitants with two-celled ascospores ornamented with striations or multiple germination slits and asci with amyloid apical rings. The two-locus phylogeny of Lutzoni et al (2004) places *Cainia* among the Xylariales. Family Clypeosphaeriaceae *sensu* Barr (1990) includes genera with mostly iodine-negative ascal apices and germination sites, when present, that are pores. Important genera include *Clypeosphaeria* and *Endoxyla*. Family

Graphostromatace contains Graphostroma. Although species have a stroma reminiscent of the Diatrypaceae and ascospores somewhat suggestive of that family, its affinities are clearly with the Xylariaceae (see FIG. 2). Family Diatrypaceae has long been recognized as a natural assemblage allied with the Xylariaceae. A cardinal feature is the pale brown allantoid ascospore. The anamorphs of the Diatrypaceae also have sympodially proliferating as well as percurrently proliferating conidiogenous cells (sometimes on the same conidiophore) but lack conspicuous scars and often have tiny conidiogenous cells and conidia densely packed into rudimentary coelomycetous conidiomata, sometimes little more than cavities in stroma (Glawe and Rogers 1982). Although circumscription of the family is clear and widely accepted (Barr 1990) the delimitation of genera is in part artificial (Acero et al 2004). Family Hyponectriaceae as circumscribed by Barr (1990) contains 13 genera. The assemblage is probably polyphyletic. The type genus Hyponectria falls within the Xylariales in the two-locus phylogeny of Lutzoni et al (2004). Family Myelostromataceae is monotypic. It generally is assigned to the Xylariales, but its true affinities remain uncertain.

Hypocreomycetidae.—This sublass is recognized here as a strongly supported monophyletic clade and includes its four orders (i.e. the Coronophorales, Halosphaeriales, Hypocreales and Microascales) in the classification sensu Eriksson (2006). The members of the subclass typically possess light colored perithecia. Asci are nonamyloid or amyloid, or lack apical rings, and true paraphyses are lacking in most members (FIG. 2). While the Coronophorales, Halosphaeriales and Hypocreales are recognized as monophyletic groups, the Microascales is rendered paraphyletic due to the placement of the Halosphaeriales. A new finding is that the sister group of the Melanospora clade is the Coronophorales and this long branch internode (SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE I) is strongly supported without third codon positons. Therefore Melanospora (type species: M. zamiae) is excluded here from the Hypocreales and recognized as a distinct order. The remaining clade in the subclass comprises Glomerella cingulata and an anamorphic species, Verticillium dahliae. The Glomerellaceae is validated with G. cingulata and placed within the Hypocreomycetidae.

Hypocreales.—The Hypocreales is a strongly supported monophyletic order that includes virulent plant and insect pathogens as well as useful mycoparasitic, endophytic and saprobic species (FIG. 2, Rossman et al 1999). This order is defined by species with soft textured, generally light to bright colored

perithecial fruiting bodies and unitunicate asci that develop among apical (and centripetal in some species) paraphyses that often dissolve at maturity. The colorless ascospores vary from nonseptate, globose to one- to multiseptate, ellipsoidal to filiform. The Hypocreales include more than 70 genera in four major families (Rossman et al 1999). The Bionectriaceae and Nectriaceae consist primarily of species previously placed in the large and artificial genus Nectria having nonstromatic, uniloculate perithecia. The genus Nectria (anamorph: Tubercularia) now is restricted to a related group of 23 species. The Nectriaceae (e.g. Haematonectria, Nectria and Pseudonectria in FIG. 2) is defined by the orange to red, KOH+ perithecia. The Bionectriaceae (e.g. Bionectria, Hydropisphaera and Roumegueriella in FIG. 2) consists primarily of pallid, nectria-like species such as Bionectria (anamorph: Clonostachys), some of which are used to control greenhouse pathogens. The Hypocreaceae includes Hypocrea (anamorph: Trichoderma), increasingly useful in the biological control of plant pathogens (Samuels 2006), and Hypomyces with diverse anamorphic states. The Clavicipitaceae produces a wide range of secondary metabolites and insect-associated genera including Cordyceps, Hypocrella and Torrubiella. The anamorphs of the Hypocreales are generally phialidic producing slimy conidia; chlamydospore-like anamorphs also are produced by many species. The anamorphs include the well known phialidic genera Gliocladium, Trichoderma (Hypocreaceae), Clonostachys, Stilbella (Bionectriaceae), Cylindrocarpon, Cylindrocladium, Fusarium, Tubercularia (Nectriaceae, Rossman et al 1999), and Beauveria, Hirsutella, Metarhizium, and Tolypocladium (Clavicipitaceae, Hodge 2003). The "toxic mold" fungus, Stachybotrys chartarum, represents part of a lineage separate from any of the defined families within the Hypocreales (Castlebury et al 2004).

Halosphaeriales.-This order is strongly supported (FIG. 2) as shown in Spatafora et al (1998). The order is characterized by usually submerged perithecial ascomata; an interascal tissue is absent, but the centrum is filled by a thin-walled pseudoparenchyma that dissolves or breaks up to form filamentous catenophyses. A small number of species in this order are found in freshwater, but the majority consists of marine species. The ascus wall in most species deliquesces releasing the ascospores, which are forced into the neck of the ascocarp by production of additional asci and ascospores. Within the order the distinctive ascospore appendages are important characters for delineating most genera. Several anamorphs have been attributed to the Halosphaeriales, including chlamydospore-like anamorphs and several

genera of so-called Ingoldian hyphomycetes. The hyphomycetous anamorph *Varicosporina ramulosa* (FIG. 1P) produces conidia and sclerocarps, sclerotium-like fruiting bodies, lacking sexual capacity. It was postulated that *V. ramulosa* is an ascomycete that has lost its ability to reproduce sexually (Kohlmeyer and Charles 1981). Sclerocarps are morphologically similar to ascomata of *Corollospora* species and well adapted to extreme conditions of sandy beaches, where they may be exposed to long periods of drying and extreme high or low temperatures.

Microascales.-This order is recognized here as a paraphyletic group with respect to the Halosphaeriales (FIG. 2). However taxonomic revision is premature due to poorly supported internal nodes and the need for greater taxon sampling. The Microscales is a small order of primarily saprobic fungi in soil, rotting vegetation and dung. A few species of this order cause plant diseases such as Ceratocystis fimbriata, transmitted by beetles to living trees and causing cacao wilt and many other economically important diseases. Other members such as species of Pseudallescheria cause incurable diseases of humans. The order is characterized by nonstromatic black perithecial ascomata with long necks or rarely with cleistothecial ascomata that lack paraphyses, and globose and evanescent asci, developing singly or in chains. Nonseptate, colorless ascospores often bear ornamenting ridges or wings. The anamorphs of the Microascaceae produce percurrently proliferating conidiogenous cells (annellides) and sometimes chlamydospore-like or aleurioconidial synanamorphs that are classified mostly in the hyphomycete genera Scopulariopsis, Graphium and Scedosporium (Abbott et al 1998, Okada et al 1998).

Coronophorales and Melanosporales.-The Coronophorales is composed of primarily wood-inhabiting taxa and defined by species with erumpent to superficial ascomata that often collapse upon drying and that sometimes possess an extensive hyphal subiculum or basal stroma. Many taxa contain Munk pores (small pores each of which is surrounded by a ring or thickening) in their ascomatal cell walls and a Quellkörper (a gelatinous mass of cells in the apical region of the ascoma, believed to function in rupturing the ascoma) in their centrum (Huhndorf et al 2004a). While Munk pores are found in a few taxa outside the order, the Quellkörper is a character unique to the Coronophorales. Filiform paraphyses are absent in the group, and in most species the asci are thin-walled, clavate, stipitate and lack an apical ring. Ascospores usually are hyaline, small and allantoid. Anamorphs are hyphomycetous when known. The typical characteristics of the Melanosporales include translucent perithecial or cleistothecial ascomata, pseudoparenchymatous centra, absence of paraphyses in development, clavate evanescent asci and dark colored ascospores. Previous phylogenetic studies based on rDNA sequences suggested that Melanospora is within or near Hypocreales (Spatafora and Blackwell 1994, Zhang and Blackwell 2002). When analyzed with more taxa of the Sordariomycetes, Melanospora formed a distinct clade outside Hypocreales (Castlebury et al 2004). The four-gene phylogeny (FIG. 2) supports the exclusion of Melanospora from the Hypocreales. Furthermore a close relationship between the Coronophorales and the Melanosporales (FIG. 2) is recognized. Similar morphological and ecological features of the two orders include a pseudoparenchymatous ascomal wall, clavate, deliquescent asci, lack of paraphyses (with a few exceptions in the Coronophorales) and often a mycoparasitic habit.

Glomerellaceae.—The Glomerellaceae is a so far monotypic family characterized by black, nonstromatic perithecia, well developed periphysate ostioles, abundant thin-walled paraphyses, clavate asci with Japical ring, and hyaline, smooth, often curved ascospores. Anamorphs known are Colletotrichum species. Glomerella had been placed in the Phyllachorales (see the orders of uncertain positions) but some of its features are clearly distinct from those of other Phyllachorales, such as its lack of stromatic tissue and its exclusively Colletotrichum anamorphs. Molecular phylogenetic analyses (Wanderlei-Silva et al 2003) confirmed these distinctions and they recognized Glomerella as a family in the Hypocreomycetidae. Further investigation is required to test whether Verticillium dahliae, a sister taxon of G. cingulata in the phylogeny presented here (FIG. 2), is actually a member of the Glomerellaceae. The anamorphic genus Verticillium apparently is related closely to the holomorphic genus Plectosphaerella (Zare et al 2004), which together might represent an undescribed family (Gams, pers comm). The family was invalidly published by Locquin (1984) and is validated here.

Glomerellaceae Locq. 1984 ex Seifert & W. Gams, fam. nov.

Glomerellaceae Locq., Mycol. Gén. Struct.: 175. 1984, nom. inval., Art. 36

Stromata absentia. Ascomata perithecia, nigra, saepe sclerotiformia, pariete crasso, ostiolum periphysatum. Textura interascalis paraphysibus veris, tenuitunicatis. Asci clavati, brevi-stipitati, tenuitunicati non fissitunicati, plerumque annulo parvo apicali praediti, qui iodo non reagit. Ascosporae hyalinae, unicellulares, leves, nonnumquam curvatae. Anamorphe Colletotrichimodo phialidica; conidia unicellularia, mucida, guttulata.

Typus Glomerella Spauld. & H. Schrenk

Sordariomycetidae.--Members of this subclass possess light to dark colored perithecia. Asci are nonamyloid, amyloid or lack apical rings, and true paraphyses are present in some members. The subclass consists of six orders, all of which are recognized as monophyletic groups in this study (FIG. 2). The clade representing the Coniochaetales is well supported and the Coniochaetales remains as an unsupported sister group of the clade containing the Sordariales, Boliniales and Chaetosphaeriales. The internode uniting the Chaetosphaeriales with the Boliniales also is supported strongly. The Diaporthales forms a sister group relationship with the economically important family Magnaporthaceae (e.g. Magnaporthe grisea and Gaeumannomyces graminis). The Ophiostomatales is an unsupported sister group of the clade containing the representative taxa of the Annulatascaceae and Papulosaceae.

Boliniales.--Members of the Boliniales occur primarily on wood. It is the only order in the Sordariomycetidae that contains taxa with large, stromatic, carbonaceous ascomata. Other taxa in the group may possess soft-textured stromata that may be brightly colored. Perithecia may be polystichous or monostichous wherein they often will be distinctively vertically elongate. Members of this order possess small asci and brown, ellipsoidal, frequently flattened ascospores that often have polar germ pores. No anamorphs are known. The Boliniales in the fourgene phylogeny is represented by four species of Camarops encompassing disparate morphologies and more strongly allied with Lasiosphaeriella nitida and Linocarpon appendiculatum (taxa that currently are placed in Sordariomycetidae inc. sed.) (FIG. 2).

Chaetosphaeriales.—The Chaetosphaeriales includes saprobic, often wood-inhabiting fungi. Small, dark perithecial ascomata that are often setose are found in a number of genera in the group. Ascomata typically are associated with dematiaceous, hyphomycetous anamorphs. Members of the Chaetosphaeriales have filiform paraphyses and cylindrical asci, usually with a pronounced apical refractive ring. Ascospores are often hyaline. Ascospores can range from ellipsoidal, nonseptate to elongate, almost filiform, and septate in species of *Chaetosphaeria*, with fusiform, 1–3-septate ascospores being typical of most members of the order (Fernández and Huhndorf 2005). Sordariales.-This order consists of mostly wood- and dung-inhabiting species with relatively large, erumpent or superficial ascomata with large celled, membraneous or coriaceous ascomatal walls. Filiform paraphyses are present in some taxa as are subapical globules within the asci. Ascospores in this group show variation on a distinct developmental theme and range from cylindrical, hyaline ascospores to ellipsoid, brown ascospores, often with appendages or sheaths. Many of the species in this order lack anamorphs, but some have lightly pigmented, phialidic, *Phialophora*-like anamorphs, or the ascospores germinate by directly producing phialides (Gams 2000, Réblová and Winka 2000). The most important discovery in these four-gene analyses is that the internode representing the Sordariales is strongly supported by both bootstrap and posterior probability, which was not shown in previous studies (Huhndorf et al 2004b, Miller and Huhndorf 2005). The present taxon sampling encompasses some of the great morphological variation within the group, but the use of four genes does not distinguish any well supported new lineages. Previous studies uncovered well supported clades that correlated with distinct ascomal wall characters (Miller and Huhndorf 2005). The Sordariaceae (e.g. Neurospora and Sordaria) remains a well supported monophyletic group, and this is the only traditional family recognized in the order. While *Chaetomium* is monophyletic with strong support, the genera Podospora and Cercophora are polyphyletic.

Coniochaetales.—Members of this order typically possess small, setose perithecial ascomata and occur on wood, dung or soil. The asci are more or less clavate without an apical ring. Ascospores are brown, ellipsoidal, fusoid or discoid, and most possess a germ slit. The relatively simple ascomatal morphology can cause members of the group to be confused with taxa in the Chaetosphaeriales or the Sordariales. The known anamorphs of this order are classified as species of *Lecythophora*. These are phialidic hyphomycetes with the phialide often reduced to a collarette lateral on a hyphal cell (Gams 2000).

Diaporthales.—The Diaporthales is a strongly supported monophyletic order (FIG. 2) and includes primarily plant-associated fungi such as the agent of chestnut blight (*Cryphonectria parasitica*) and dogwood anthracnose (*Discula destructiva*). The order is characterized by black perithecial fruiting bodies that may or may not be aggregated and immersed in a stroma, evanescent interthecial elements, and asci that float free at maturity and often have conspicuous refractive apical rings. The ascospores vary from colorless, allantoid (*Valsa*), one-septate, ellipsoidal

(*Diaporthe*), or elongated (*Cryptosporella*) to large, multiseptate, and black (*Melanconis*). Commonly encountered anamorphs are coelomycetes such as *Cytospora* (*Valsa*), *Phomopsis* (*Diaporthe*) and *Melanconium* (*Melanconis*), producing pycnidia with phialidic conidiogenous cells, often on the same stroma as the sexual state.

Ophiostomatales.-This order includes fungi associated with wood and bark, such as the agents of Dutch elm disease (Ophiostoma ulmi and O. novo-ulmi) and blue stain of hard- and softwood timber (O. piliferum). Most species of the order however are saprobic and usually are associated with beetle dispersers, while related anamorphs (i.e. Sporothrix schenckii) are reported to cause diseases in humans (Summerbell 1999). The order is characterized by solitary, black perithecia, and most species have long necks from which sticky ascospores ooze and are transported by insects to new substrates. The asci are globose and dissolve early in development, while the ascospores often have ornamenting sheaths, ridges or wings. Many of the hyphomycete genera once associated with the Ophiostomatales have been simplified by the recognition that the apparent variety in conidium ontogeny represents minor variation of a common pattern. In genera such as Leptographium and Pesotum a percurrent proliferation of the conidiogenous cells and delayed secession of conidia gives a false impression of sympodial proliferation. In addition some species have exclusively sympodially proliferating, denticulate conidiogenous cells that are classified in Sporothrix (Wingfield et al 1993). Ophiostoma once was considered synonymous with Ceratocystis in the Microascales and their long-necked, fleshy perithecia with sticky ascospores associated with insects in wood represent a morphological homoplasy (Cain and Weresub 1957, Samuels and Müller 1978, Spatafora and Blackwell 1994).

Magnaporthaceae, Annulatascaceae and Papulosaceae.—These families, each with one representative species included in this phylogenetic study (FIG. 2), were grouped in the Sordariomycetidae. Their ordinal placement is unsettled due to their distinctive morphological characters and paucity of sequence data. The Magnaporthaceae is characterized by nonstromatic black perithecia, usually with long hairy necks, tapering paraphyses, persistent asci and filiform ascospores. The anamorphs are hyphomycetous. Members of the Annulatascaceae are found in rotten wood in tropical freshwater habitats. Nonstromatic perithecia are dark brown to black, sometimes longnecked, paraphyses are persistent, asci are typically cylindrical with large nonamyloid apical rings, and ascospores often have polar appendages or a

gelatinous sheath (Wong et al 1998). The obligate marine fungus *Papulosa amerospora* grows in leaves of the halophyte *Juncus roemerianus*. It is characterized by dark brown, nonstromatic perithecia, long periphysate necks, lateral paraphyses, persistent cylindrical asci with apical amyloid rings and aseptate, ellipsoidal, verruculose ascospores covered by a gelatinous sheath.

Order of uncertain position.-The placement of the Lulworthiales, although taxon sampling has been limited, suggests a new subclass lineage and is treated as an order of uncertain position in this study. This recently described order was segregated from the Halosphaeriales based on molecular data (Kohlmeyer et al 2000). The Lulworthiales is characterized by dark ostiolate ascomata, with or without a subiculum, and the absence of interascal tissue although the centrum is filled initially with a thin-walled pseudoparenchyma. Asci are thin-walled and deliquesce early, and ascospores are usually filamentous (FIG. 1O), mostly with mucus-containing apical chambers or appendages. The members of the Lulworthiales degrade wood and marsh plants in marine and estuarine environments. Two species of an enigmatic genus Spathulospora, obligate parasites on red algae, recently were placed in this order based on nuclear ribosomal data (Inderbitzin et al 2004). It was noted by Inderbitzin et al (2004) that Spathulospora shares the apical mucus-filled chambers of the ascospores with several lulworthialean species. However major features of Spathulospora species, absent in other members of Lulworthiales, are presence of sterile ascomatal hairs, antheridia and trichogynes. Haloguignardia, another genus with algae-inhabiting species and ascospores characterized by mucus-filled apical chambers, also is nested within the Lulworthiales (Campbell et al 2005). The only reported anamorphs in this order are the hyphomycetes, Zalerion maritimum (teleomorph Lulworthia uniseptata, Nakagiri 1984), with helically coiled conidia, and Anguillospora marina (teleomorph Lindra obtusa, Nakagiri and Tubaki 1983) with filiform conidia.

Evolution of morphology and ecology.—A synapomorphy of the Sordariomycetes is the perithecial ascoma, which is evolved from the apothecium of ancestral Pezizomycotina (see Spatafora et al this issue). However taxa in a number of unrelated lineages of the Sordariomycetes have lost ostioles, which usually is associated with the loss of forcible discharge of ascospores (Malloch 1981, Suh and Blackwell 1999). Most members of the Xylariomycetidae and some of the Sordariomycetidae have dark perithecia, amyloid asci, true paraphyses and periphysate ostioles. We hypothesize that these traits are plesiomorphies in the Sordariomycetes, although the relationships among the three subclasses still are not resolved confidently. Most of the sampled taxa of the Hypocreomycetidae have light colored perithecia, nonamyloid apical rings when apical rings are present and the absence of true paraphyses.

The majority of members of the Sordariomycetes are terrestrial, and life in aquatic habitats is considered a derived character for the class (Samuels and Blackwell 2001). The Halosphaeriales, Sordariales, Diaporthales, Xylariales and Magnaporthaceae contain freshwater species, while most marine species are classified in the Halosphaeriales and Lulworthiales. Most of these fungi break down lignin and cellulose from plant debris in intertidal and subtidal zones, very rarely also in the deep sea. All the major lineages in the Sordariomycetes contain aquatic species (Shearer 1993, Spatafora et al 1998). This study further supports the conclusion that the move to aquatic environments occurred many times in the class. The Xylariomycetidae comprises saprobes and plant pathogens, which are also abundant in the other two subclasses. Therefore the saprobic and plant parasitic habits might be the ancestral states of the Sordariomycetes. Most mycoparasites and insect associates are derived from the Hypocreomycetidae, and the Sordariomycetidae is rich in coprophilous taxa.

CONCLUSION

We have tested the hypotheses of the systematics of the Sordariomycetes based on multigene phylogenetic analyses. While the phylogeny is largely consistent with the subclass and ordinal classification of Eriksson (2006), the Melanosporales is recognized as a distinct order in the Hypocreomycetidae. The phylogenetic analyses strongly support monophyly of the three subclasses, and 12 orders in the study are recognized as monophyletic groups except for the Microascales. Results from this study, coupled with 400 000 000 y old fossil record (Taylor et al 2005), also provide an insight into the long and diverse evolution of the nutritional mode/fungal symbioses in the Sordariomycetes. Because its ancestral nutritional mode is hypothesized to be saprobic or plant pathogenic, our data indicate multiple independent origins of other nutritional ecologies (e.g. insect associates and mycoparasites) and provide a foundation for developing hypotheses on the dynamic process of evolutionary patterns, such as marine colonization.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Meredith Blackwell and Joseph W. Spatafora for suggestions in the improvement of this manuscript and Walter Gams for assistance with the Latin diagnosis. We are grateful to Robert Shoemaker for photographs of *Cainia, Gaeumannomyces* and *Hypomyces,* Gary J. Samuels for photographs of *Hypocrea,* and David Farr for the photograph of *Valsella.* We also appreciate assistance and advice with computational resources by Scott Givan and Chris Sullivan at the Center for Gene Research and Biotechnology of Oregon State University. Ben O'Rourke was great assistance to us in generating sequence data. Financial support from the National Science Foundation (DEB-0090301) to Meredith Blackwell, Joseph W. Spatafora and John W. Taylor is greatly acknowledged in initiating the collaboration and publishing this manuscript. Sequence data was generated with financial support from the National Science Foundation (DEB-0228725 and DEB-0129212) to Joseph W. Spatafora.

LITERATURE CITED

- Abbott SP, Sigler L, Currah RS. 1998. *Microascus brevicaulis* sp. nov., the teleomorph of *Scopulariopsis brevicaulis*, supports placement of *Scopulariopsis* with the Microascaceae. Mycologia 90:297–302.
- Acero FJ, González J, Sáchez-Ballesteros J, Rubio V, Checa J, Bills G, Salazar O, Platas G, Peláez F. 2004. Molecular phylogenetic studies on the Diatrypaceae based on rDNA-ITS sequences. Mycologia 96:249–259.
- Alexopoulos CJ, Mims CW, Blackwell M. 1996. Introductory Mycology. New York: John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- Barr ME. 1978. The Diaporthales in North America. Mycol Mem 7:1–232.
- ——. 1990. Prodromus to nonlichenized, pyrenomycetous members of Class Hymenoascomycetes. Mycotaxon 39:43–184.
- Bugni TS, Ireland CM. 2004. Marine-derived fungi: a chemically and biologically diverse group of microorganisms. Nat Prod Rep 21:143–163.
- Cain RF, Weresub LK. 1957. Studies of coprophilous ascomycetes. V. *Sphaeronaemella fimicola*. Can J Bot 35:119–131.
- Campbell J, Volkmann-Kohlmeyer B, Gräfenhan T, Spatafora JW, Kohlmeyer J. 2005. A re-evaluation of Lulworthiales: relationships based on 18S and 28S rDNA. Mycol Res 109:556–568.
- Castlebury LA, Rossman AY, Jaklitsch WJ, Vasilyeva LN. 2002. A preliminary overview of the Diaporthales based on large subunit nuclear ribosomal DNA sequences. Mycologia 94:1017–1031.

—, —, Sung G-H, Hyten AS, Spatafora JW. 2004. Multigene phylogeny reveals new lineage for *Stachybotrys chartarum*, the indoor air fungus. Mycol Res 108: 864–872.

- Eriksson OE, ed. 2006. Outline of Ascomycota—2006. Myconet 12:1–82.
- Felsenstein J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 39:783–791.
- Fernández F, Huhndorf S. 2005. New species of *Chaeto-sphaeria*, *Melanopsammella* and *Tainosphaeria* gen. nov. from the Americas. Fung Divers 18:15–57.
- Gams W. 2000. Phialophora and some similar morpholog-

ically little-differentiated anamorphs of divergent ascomycetes. Stud Mycol 45:187–199.

- Glawe D, Rogers J. 1982. Observations on the anamorphs of six species of *Diatrype* and *Diatrypella*. Can J Bot 60: 245–251.
- Gugnani HC, Talwar RS, Njoku-Obi AN, Kodilinye HC. 1976. Mycotic keratitis in Nigeria. A study of 21 cases. Brit J Ophthalmol 60:607–613.
- Guindon S, Gascuel O. 2003. A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by maximum likelihood. Syst Biol 52:696–704.
- Harman GE, Howell CR, Viterbo A, Chet I, Lorito M. 2004. *Trichoderma* species-opportunistic, avirulent pant symbionts. Nat Rev Microbiol 2:43–56.
- Hodge K. 2003. Clavicipitaceous anamorphs. In: White JJ, Bacon C, Hywel-Jones NL, Spatafora J, eds. Clavicipitalean Fungi: evolutionary biology, chemistry, biocontrol, and cultural impacts. New York: Marcel Dekker. p 75– 123.
- Hsieh H-M, Ju Y-M, Rogers J. 2005. Molecular phylogeny of *Hypoxylon* and closely related genera. Mycologia 97: 844–865.
- Huelsenbeck JP, Ronquist F. 2001. MrBayes: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics 17: 754–755.
- Huhndorf SM, Miller AN, Fernandez FA. 2004a. Molecular systematics of the Coronophorales and new species of *Bertia, Lasiohertia* and *Nitschkia*. Mycol Res 108:1384– 1398.
- —, —, —, 2004b. Molecular systematics of the Sordariales: the order and the family Lasiosphaeriaceae redefined. Mycologia 96:368–387.
- Inderbitzin P, Lim SR, Volkmann-Kohlmeyer B, Kohlmeyer J, Berbee ML. 2004. The phylogenetic position of *Spathulospora* based on DNA sequences from dried herbarium material. Mycol Res 108:737–748.
- Ju Y-M, Rogers J. 1996. A revision of the genus *Hypoxylon*. Mycol Mem 20:1–365.
- Kang J, Kong R, Hyde KD. 2002. Phylogeny of Amphisphaeriaceae (*sensu stricto*) and related taxa revisited based on nrDNA sequences. Mycotaxon 81:321–330.
- Kirk PM, Cannon PF, David JC, Stalpers JA. 2001. Ainsworth & Bisby's Dictionary of the Fungi. 8th ed. Surrey, UK: CABI Bioscience.
- Kohlmeyer J, Charles TM. 1981. Sclerocarps: undescribed propagules in a sand-inhabiting marine fungus. Can J Bot 59:1787–1791.
- ——, Spatafora JW, Volkmann-Kohlmeyer B. 2000. Lulworthiales, a new order of marine Ascomycota. Mycologia 92:453–458.
- —, Volkmann-Kohlmeyer B. 2002. Fungi on *Juncus* and *Spartina*: new marine species of *Anthostomella*, with a list of marine fungi known on *Spartina*. Mycol Res 106: 365–374.
- Locquin MV. 1984. Classification Générale des Mycota. Masson, Paris: Mycologie Générale et Structurale. p 169–175.
- Luttrell ES. 1951. Taxonomy of the pyrenomycetes. U Missouri Stud 24:1–120.
- Lutzoni F, Kauff F, Cox CJ, McLaughlin D, Celio G,

Dentinger B, Padamsee M, Hibbett D, James TY, Baloch E, Grube M, Reeb V, Hofstetter V, Schoch C, Arnold AE, Miadlikowska J, Spatafora JW, Johnson D, Hambleton S, Crockett M, Shoemaker R, Sung G-H, Lücking R, Lumbsch T, O'Donnell K, Binder M, Diederich P, Ertz D, Gueidan C, Hansen K, Harris RC, Hosaka K, Lim Y-W, Matheny B, Nishida H, Pfister D, Rogers J, Rossman A, Schmitt I, Sipman H, Stone J, Sugiyama J, Yahr Y, Vilgalys R. 2004. Assembling the Fungal Tree of Life: progress, classification, and evolution of subcellular traits. Am J Bot 91:1446–1480.

- Malloch D. 1981. The plectomycete centrum. In: Reynold DR, ed. Ascomycete systematics. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer. p 73–91.
- Mason-Gamer RJ, Kellogg EA. 1996. Testing for phylogenetic conflict among molecular data sets in the tribe Triticeae (Gramineae). Syst Biol 45:524–545.
- Miller AN, Huhndorf SM. 2005. Multi-gene phylogenies indicate ascomal wall morphology is a better predictor of phylogenetic relationships than ascospore morphology in the Sordariales (Ascomycota, Fungi). Mol Phylogen Evol 35:60–75.
- Müller E, von Arx J. 1973. Pyrenomycetes: Meliolales, Coronophorales, Sphaeriales. In: Ainsworth G, Sparrow F, Sussman A, eds. The Fungi IV. New York: Academic Press. p 87–132.
- Nakagiri A. 1984. Two new species of *Lulworthia* and evaluation of genera-delimiting characters between *Lulworthia* and *Lindra* (Halosphaeriaceae). Trans Mycol Soc Jap 25:377–388.
- ——, Tubaki K. 1983. Lindra obtusa, a new marine ascomycete and its Anguillospora anamorph. Mycologia 75:487–497.
- Nannfeldt JA. 1932. Studien über die morphologie und systematik der nicht-lichenisierten inoperculaten Discomyceten. Nova Acta Reg Soc Sci Ups IV 8:1–368.
- Okada G, Seifert KA, Takematsu A, Yamaoka Y, Miyazaki S, Tubaki K. 1998. A molecular phylogenetic reappraisal of the taxonomy of the *Graphium* complex based on 18S rDNA sequences. Can J Bot 76:1495–1506.
- Réblová M, Winka K. 2000. Phylogeny of *Chaetosphaeria* and its anamorphs based on morphological and molecular data. Mycologia 92:939–954.
- Rogerson CT, Samuels GJ. 1989. Boleticolous species of *Hypomyces*. Mycologia 81:413–432.
- Rossman A, Samuels G, Rogerson C, Lowen R. 1999. Genera of Bionectriaceae, Hypocreaceae and Nectriaceae (Hypocreales, Ascomycetes). Stud Mycol 42:1–248.
- Samuels GJ. 2006. *Trichoderma*: systematics, the sexual state, and ecology. Phytopathology 96:195–206.
 - —, Blackwell M. 2001. Pyrenomycetes-fungi with perithecia. In: McLaughlin DJ, McLaughlin EG, Lemke PA, eds. The Mycota VII Part A. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag. p 221–255.
- ------, Müller E. 1978. Life history studies of Brazilian

Ascomycetes 5. Two new species of *Ophiostoma* and their *Sporothrix* anamorphs. Sydowia 31:169–179.

- Sánchez-Ballesteros J, González V, Salazar O, Acero J, Portal M, Julián M, Rubio V, Bills G, Polishook J, Platas G, Mochales S, Peláez F. 2000. Phylogenetic study of *Hypoxylon* and related genera based on ribosomal ITS sequences. Mycologia 92:964–977.
- Seifert KA, Gams W. 2001. The taxonomy of anamorphic fungi. In: McLaughlin DJ, McLaughlin EG, Lemke PA, eds. The Mycota VII Part A. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag. p 307–347.
- Shearer CJ. 1993. The freshwater ascomycetes. Nov Hedwig 56:1–33.
- Spatafora JW, Blackwell M. 1994. The polyphyletic origins of ophiostomatoid fungi. Mycol Res 98:1–9.
- —, Volkmann-Kohlmeyer B, Kohlmeyer J. 1998. Independent terrestrial origins of the Halosphaeriales (marine Ascomycota). Amer J Bot 85:1569–1580.
- Suh SO, Blackwell M. 1999. Molecular phylogeny of the cleistothecial fungi placed in Cephalothecaceae and Pseudeurotiaceae. Mycologia 91:836–848.
- Summerbell R. 2003. Aspergillus, Fusarium, Sporothrix, Piedraia, and their relatives. In: Howard DH, ed. Pathogenic fungi in humans and animals. New York: Marcel Dekker Inc. p 237–498.
- Taylor TN, Hass H, Kerp H, Krings M, Hanlin RT. 2005. Perithecial ascomycetes from the 400 million year old Rhynie chert: an example of ancestral polymorphism. Mycologia 97:269–285.
- Triebel D, Derek P, Wollweber H, Stadler M. 2005. Phylogenetic relationships among *Daldinia*, *Ento-naema*, and *Hypoxylon* as inferred from ITS nrDNA analyses of Xylariales. Nov Hedwig 80:25–43.
- Wanderlei-Silva D, Neto E, Hanlin RT. 2003. Molecular systematics of the Phyllachorales (Ascomycota, Fungi) based on 18S ribosomal DNA sequences. Brazil Arch Biol Tech 46:315–322.
- Weir A, Blackwell M. 2001. Molecular data support the Laboulbeniales as a separate class of Ascomycota, Laboulbeniomycetes. Mycol Res 105:1182–1190.
- Wingfield M, Seifert K, Webber J. 1993. *Ceratocystis* and *Ophiostoma*: taxonomy, ecology and pathology. St Paul: Am Phytopathol Soc.
- Wong SW, Hyde KD, Jones EBG. 1998. Annulatascaceae, a new ascomycete family from the tropics. Syst Ascom 16:17–25.
- Zare R, Gams W, Schroers HJ. 2004. The type species of *Verticillium* is not congeneric with the plant pathogenic species placed in *Verticillium* and is not the anamorph of *Nectria inventa*. Mycol Res 108:576–582.
- Zhang N, Blackwell M. 2001. Molecular phylogeny of dogwood anthracnose fungus (*Discula destructiva*) and the Diaporthales. Mycologia 93:355–365.
- —, —, 2002. Molecular phylogeny of *Melanospora* and similar pyrenomycetous fungi. Mycol Res 106:148– 155.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE I. Species used in this study

Taxon	Voucher info. ^a	nSSU rDNA	nSSU rDNA	TEF	RPB2				
Ambrosiella xylebori	CBS 110.61	DQ471031	DQ470979	DQ471102	DQ470931				
Aniptodera chesapeakensis	ATCC 32818	U46870	U46882	DQ471064	DQ470896				
Annulusmagnus triseptatus	SMH 2359	—	AY346257	—	AY780148				
Anthostomella torosa	JK 5678E	DQ836895	DQ836902	—	DQ836885				
Apiognomonia errabunda	AR 2813	DQ862045	AF408334	DQ862030	DQ862014				
Apiosordaria verruculosa	F-152365 (A-12907)	—	AY346258	—	AY780150				
Apiospora montagnei	CBS 212.30	—	DQ471018	DQ842027	DQ470921				
Balansia henningsiana	GAM 16112	AY489683	AY489715	AY489610	DQ522413				
Bertia moriformis	SMH 4320	—	AU695260	—	AY780151				
Bionectria cf. aureofulva	GJS 71-328	DQ862044	DQ862027	DQ862029	DQ862013				
Bionectria ochroleuca	CBS 114056	AY489684	AY489176	AY489611	DQ522415				
Bombardia bombarda	SMH 3391	DQ471021	DQ470970	DQ471095	DQ470923				
Botryotinia fuckeliana	OSC 100012	AY544695	AY544651	DQ471045	DQ247786				
Camarops amorpha	SMH 1450	—	AY780054		AY780156				
Camarops microspora	CBS 649.92	DQ471036	AY083821	DQ471108	DQ470937				
Camarops tubulina	SMH 4614	—	AY346266		AY780157				
Camarops ustulinoides	DEH 2164	DQ470989	DQ470941	DQ471050	DQ470882				
Cephalotheca purpurea	CBS 133.34	AF096176	AF096191	—	—				
Ceratocystis fimbriata	TCH C89	U32418	U17401	—	—				
Cercophora newfieldiana	SMH 2622	—	AF064642		AY780166				
Cercophora scortea	GJS L556	—	AY780066		AY780168				
Cercophora terricola	ATCC 200395	—	AY780067	—	AY780170				
Ceriosporopsis halima	JK 5473F	U47843	U47844	—	_				
Chaetomium globosum		Genome	Genome	Genome	Genome				
Chaetomium microascoides	F-153395 (A-12898)	—	AY436273	—	AY780171				
Chaetosphaerella phaeostroma	SMH 4585 (a)	—	AY346274	—	AY780172				
Chaetosphaeria ovoidea	SMH 2605	—	AF064641	—	AY780173				
Chromendothia citrina	AR 3446	DQ862046	AF408335	DQ862031	DQ862015				
Claviceps purpurea	GAM 12885	AF543765	AF543789	AF543778	DQ522417				
Coniochaetidium ostreum	CBS 507.70	DQ471007	DQ470959	DQ471078	DQ470909				
Coniochaetidium savoryi	TRTC 51980	—	AY346276		AY780174				
Cordyceps capitate	OSC 71233	AY489689	AY489721	AY489615	DQ522421				
Cordyceps cardinalis	OSC 93609	AY184973	AY184962	DQ522325	DQ522422				
Cordyceps ophioglossoides	OSC 106405	AY489691	AY489723	AY489618	DQ522429				
Corollospora maritima	JK 4834	U46871	U46884		—				
Cryphonectria cubensis	CBS 101281	DQ862047	AF408338	DQ862032	DQ862016				
Cryphonectria parasitica	ATCC 38755	DQ862048	AY141856	DQ862033	DQ862017				
Cryptodiaporthe aesculi	CBS 109765	DQ836899	DQ836905	DQ836914	DQ836892				
Cryptosporella hypodermia	CBS 171.69	DQ862049	DQ862028	DQ862034	DQ862018				
Diaporthe eres	CBS 109767	DQ471015	AF408350	DQ479931	DQ470919				
Diaporthe phaseolorum	NRRL 13736	L36985	U47830	—	AY641036				
Diatrype disciformis	CBS 197.49	DQ471012	DQ470964	DQ471085	DQ470915				
Doratomyces stemonitis	CBS 127.22	DQ836901	DQ836907	DQ836916					
Endothia gyrosa	CBS 112915	DQ836898	DQ470972	DQ471096	DQ470926				
Epichloë typhina	ATCC 56429	U32405	U17396	AF543777	DQ522440				
Eutypa lata	CBS 208.87	DQ836896	DQ836903	DQ836909	DQ836889				
Gelasinospora tetrasperma	CBS 178.33	DQ471032	DQ470980	DQ471103	DQ470932				
Glomerella cingulata	CBS 114054	AF543762	AF543786	AF543773	DQ522441				
Gnomonia gnomon	CBS 199.53	DQ471019	AF408361	DQ471094	DQ470922				
Graphium penicillioides	CBS 506.86	DQ471038	AF027384	DQ471110	DQ470938				
Graphostroma platystoma	CBS 270.87	DQ836900	DQ836906	DQ836915	DQ836893				
Haematonectria haematococca	GJS 89-70	AY489697	AY489729	AY489624	DQ518180				
Halosphaeria appendiculata	CBS 197.60	U46872	U46885						
Hydropisphaera erubescens	ATCC 36093	AY545722	AY545726	AY545731	AY545732				
Hypocrea citrine	OSC 100005	AY544693	AY544649	DQ471043	DQ522853				
Hypocrea lutea	ATCC 208838	AF543768	AF543791	AF543781	DQ522446				

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE I. Continued

Taxon	Voucher info. ^a	nSSU rDNA	nSSU rDNA	TEF	RPB2
Immersiella immersa	SMH 4104	—	AY436409	_	AY436408
Lasiosphaeria hispida	SHM 3336	—	AY436419		AY780180
Lasiosphaeria ovina	SMH 4605	DQ836894	AY436413	DQ836908	_
Lasiosphaeriella nitida	SMH 1664	—	AY346289		AY780182
Leotia lubrica	OSC 100001	AY544687	AY544644	DQ471041	DQ470876
Leucostoma niveum	AR 3413	DQ862050	AF362558	DQ862035	DQ862019
Lignincola laevis	JK 5180A	U46873	U46890	_	DQ836886
Lindra thalassiae	JK 5090A	DQ470994	DQ470947	DQ471065	DQ470897
Linocarpon appendiculatum	ATCC 90499	_	AY346291	_	AY780183
Lulworthia grandispora	JK 4686	DQ522855	DQ522856	DQ497608	DQ518181
Magnaporthe grisea	5	Genome	Genome	Genome	Genome
Mazzantia napelli	AR 3498	DQ862051	AF408368	DQ862036	DQ862020
Melanconis alni	AR 3500	DQ862052	AF408371	DQ862037	DQ862021
Melanconis marginalis	AR 3442	DO862053	AF408373	DO862038	DO862022
Melanconis stilbostoma	CBS 109778	DO862043	_	DO836910	DO836890
Melanconis stilbostoma	AR 3501	$\widetilde{DO862054}$	AF408374	$\widetilde{DO862039}$	$\widetilde{\text{DO862023}}$
Melanochaeta hemipsila	SMH 2125	~	AY346292	~	AY780184
Melanospora tiffanii	ATCC 15515	AY015619	AY015630		AY015637
Melanosbora zamiae	ATCC 12340	AY046578	AY046579		AY046580
Menisbora tortuosa	DAOM 231154	AY544723	AY544682	_	DO836884
Microascus longirostris	CBS 267.49	DO471026	AF400865	DO836913	
Microascus trigonosborus	CBS 218.31	DO471006	DO470958	DO471077	DO470908
Microglossum rufum	OSC 100641	DO471033	DO470981	DO471104	DO470933
Myrothecium roridum	ATCC 16297	AY489676	AY489708	AY489603	
Nectria cinnabarina	CBS 114055	U32412	U00748	AF543785	DO522456
Neurospora crassa		X04971	AF286411	Genome	Genome
Niesslia exilis	CBS 560 74	AY489688	AY489720	AY489614	_
Nimhoshora effusa	IK 5104A	1146877	1146892		DO836887
Nohea umiumi	JK 5103F	U46878	U46893		
Ophiostoma piliferum	CBS 158 74	DO471003	DO470955	DO471074	DO470905
Ophiostoma stenoceras	CBS 139 51	DO836897	DO836904	DO836912	DO836891
Papulosa amerospora	IK 5547F	DQ050057	DQ030001	DQ471069	DQ0300001
Peethamhara spirostriata	CBS 110115	AV489692	AV489794	AV489619	
Petriella setifera	CBS 437 75	DO471020	DO470969	DO836911	DO836883
Plagiostoma euphorbiae	CBS 340 78	DO862055	AF408382	DO862040	DO862024
Podoshora anserina		Genome		Genome	Genome
Podospora decipiens	CBS 258 64		AY780073		AY780187
Podospora fibrinocaudata	TRTC 48343	_	AY780074		AY780188
Poroconiochaeta discoidea	SANK 19878	_	AY346297		AY780191
Pseudonectria rousseliana	CBS 114049	AF543767	U17416	AF543780	DO522459
Roumeoueriella rufula	CBS 346 85	DO599561	DO518776	DO599355	DO599461
Sevnesia erumbens	SMH 1991	AF279409	AF279410	DQ322333	AV641073
Sordaria fimicola	CBSC 15-5973	AV545798	AV545794	DO518175	
Sphaerostilhella herkelevana	CBS 102308	AF543770	100756	AF543783	DO599465
Stachybotrys chartarym	ATCC 66238	AV489680	AV489719	AV489607	DQ322103
Stachybotrys culturaling	ATCC 39888	AV489679	AV489711	AV489606	
Stattonia carbonaria	ATCC 34567		AV346309		AV780196
Valsa ambiens	AR 3516	DO869056	AF369564	DO869041	DO869095
Valsella salicis	AR 3510	DQ862050	AF408380	DQ862041	DQ862025
vanicosporina ramulosa	DVC 112	U/2846	TIAA009	DQ002042	DQ886889
Variesporna ramuiosa Variesilieum dablica	ATCC 16525	173040 V190705	U 77094 AV490797		DQ030000
Volaria acuta	ATCC 56497	AV5/1/710	AV544676	DO471049	DQ522400
Nyunu uuuu Nylaria hyborylor	ATUU 30407	AU544609	A1544070 AV544649	DQ+71040	DQ247797
Διατία πγροχνισπ	030 100004	A1344092	A1344040	DQ1/1042	DQ1/00/0

^a AR, Amy Rossman; ATCC, American Type Culture Collection; CBS, Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures; CBSC, Carolina Biological Supply Company; DAOM, Department of Agriculture, Ottawa, Mycology; DEH, Don E. Hemmes; GAM, Julian H. Miller Mycological Herbarium; GJS, Gary J. Samuels; JK, Jan Kohlmeyer; NRRL, ARS Culture Collection, National Center for Agricultural Utilization Research, Peoria, IL; OSC, Oregon State University Herbarium; RVG, Robert V. Gessner; SMH, Sabine M. Huhndorf; TCH, Thomas C. Harrington; TRTC, Royal Ontario Museum, Toronto, Canada.



SUPPLEMENTARY FIG. 1. Phylogenetic tree of the Sordariomycetes. The phylogeny is constructed from a 50% majority-rule consensus tree from Bayesian analyses. Posterior probabilities are provided below the corresponding internodes. The taxa (e.g. subclasses, orders and families) are provided to the right of species names.