Control of cell migration during *Caenorhabditis elegans* **development** Robert Blelloch*, Craig Newman[†] and Judith Kimble[‡]

Robert Bielloch*, Craig Newman+ and Judith Kin

In *Caenorhabditis elegans*, cell migration is guided by localized cues, including molecules such as EGL-17/FGF and UNC-6/netrin. These external cues are linked to an intracellular response to migrate, at least in part, by CED-5, a homolog of DOCK180/MBC, and MIG-2, a Rac-like GTPase. In addition, metalloproteases are required for a cell migration that controls organ shape.

Addresses

*[‡]Program in Cell and Molecular Biology, [†]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, [‡]Departments of Biochemistry and Medical Genetics, and [‡]Laboratory of Molecular Biology, 433 Babcock Drive, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53706, USA [‡]e-mail: jekimble@facstaff.wisc.edu

Current Opinion in Cell Biology 1999, 11:608-613

0955-0674/99/\$ – see front matter $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Abbreviations

FGF	fibroblast growth factor
GEF	guanine nucleotide exchange factor
нох	homeobox
MBC	myoblast city
SM	sex myoblast
TGFβ	transforming growth factor β
TSP	thrombospondin

Introduction

Cell migrations are crucial for animal development. A classic example is the migration of neural crest cells in vertebrate embryos. In addition, aberrant cell migrations can promote disease. One example is the metastasis of cells in advanced cancers. How cell migrations are controlled during normal development is perhaps best addressed with the genetic and molecular tools available in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*, and in the fly *Drosophila melanogaster*. In this review, we focus on recent advances in *C. elegans* and provide references to parallel work in other organisms. For a general review of *C. elegans* and *Drosophila* regulators of cell migration, see [2].

Two features of *C. elegans* make it particularly amenable to the analysis of cell migration controls. First, the animal is transparent and has a simple anatomy, making it possible to follow the migration of individual cells in the living animal throughout development. Second, migrations are invariant from animal to animal, so any deviations from the normal pattern can be detected. These features, together with its well-studied genetics [3], the complete sequencing of its genome [4••], and the ability to reduce gene function in this animal by RNA-mediated interference [5,6••], make *C. elegans* one of the best systems for analyzing cell migrations during development. Certain cells in *C. elegans* have served as paradigms for controls of cell migration. These include the sex myoblast (SM), two Q neuroblasts (QL and QR) and their descendants, and the gonadal leader cells (Figure 1). In the following sections, we first describe recent progress on localized guidance cues (Figure 2), we then describe a possible link between these extracellular signals and the intracellular machinery driving cell motility, and finally describe an extracellular metalloprotease that is required for migration *per se* (Figure 3).

Fibroblast growth factor pathway

The fibroblast growth factor (FGF) receptor tyrosine kinase pathway has been implicated in numerous cell migrations during development, in both vertebrates and invertebrates [7–9]. In the *Drosophila* trachea and the vertebrate lung, FGF directs branching morphogenesis — a fundamental process that includes both cell migrations

Figure 1



Paradigms for cell migration in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Animals are drawn from a lateral perspective. **(a)** Anterior migration of the sex myoblast (SM) towards the center of the developing gonad (arrow shows direction of migration). **(b)** Anterior migration (light green arrow) of QR and its descendants on the right side of the animal; posterior migration of QL (dark green arrow) and its descendants on the left. **(c)** Migration of gonadal leader cells (red arrows) to generate U-shaped gonadal arms.

Figure 2

Guidance of cell migrations in *Caenorhabditis elegans.* Schematic of the *C. elegans* body (larger oval) and gonad (smaller oval) in longitudinal section. EGL-17/FGF emanates from the central gonad (orange) as well as from the developing vulva (not shown); the SM cell uses EGL-17 to position itself in the center of the gonad. UNC-6/netrin is localized ventrally (purple); repulsion from UNC-6 drives migrating cells dorsally, whereas attraction to UNC-6 makes them migrate ventrally. UNC-129/TGF β is localized in the dorsal region (blue) and influences migrations along the dorsal–ventral



and cell shape changes. In *C. elegans*, FGF controls an apparently simpler process — migration of the SM towards the gonad.

The SM cell is born in the posterior of the animal during the first larval stage. In hermaphrodites, it migrates anteriorly to the central gonad and developing vulva, where it generates uterine and vulval musculature. Proper positioning of SM requires an FGF-like ligand encoded by the egl-17 gene [10], and a receptor belonging to the FGF receptor subfamily, encoded by egl-15 [11]. Mutations in either egl-17 or egl-15 cause SM migration to arrest before reaching the gonad. Significantly, the egl-17 signaling ligand is expressed in both vulval and somatic gonadal cells ([12^{••}]; CS Branda, MJ Stern, personal communication), and the egl-15 receptor is expressed in the migrating SM cell [12^{••}]. The idea is that the *egl-17* ligand is secreted by vulval and gonadal cells to form a signaling gradient that attracts and positions SM at the center of the gonad. A new component of the egl-15/egl-17 pathway has been identified in a genetic screen for suppressors of loss-of-function egl-15 mutations [13]. The egl-15 suppressor, called clr-1, encodes a receptor tyrosine phosphatase and is thought to act as a negative regulator of receptor function; however, the mechanism of that negative regulation is not yet known.

The control of SM migration provides a particularly simple example of guidance by FGF signaling. In other systems, FGF signaling is complicated by the existence of several rounds of FGF signaling, which control distinct cellular responses, and the existence of reciprocal signaling events [8]. Therefore, FGF signaling to the SM cell could be uniquely poised for more in-depth analyses of mechanism. How does activation of the EGL-17 receptor trigger cell movement? How is the FGF gradient generated? How is the gradient read so that the cell moves in a particular direction? The ability to manipulate this simple system may permit answers to these fundamental questions.

Homeobox (HOX) genes and Wnt signaling

Homeobox (HOX) transcription factors establish regional specificities in most animals (reviewed in [14]). In addition,

HOX genes can regulate cell migrations in those body regions under their control [15,16,17^{••}]. Perhaps the best example is control of the migration of Q neuroblasts and their descendants by *mab-5* (for a review see [15]). The *mab-5* HOX gene controls development in the mid-posterior body and, consistent with that function, *mab-5* is required for migration of QL toward the posterior but not for the anterior migration of QR. Normally, *mab-5* is expressed in QL, but not in QR [18]; furthermore, loss of *mab-5* expression in QL causes QL to migrate anteriorly, whereas ectopic expression of *mab-5* in QR causes QR to migrate posteriorly [18,19]. Similarly (but in a less wellunderstood fashion), another HOX gene *lin-39* regulates development in the central body region and influences anterior migration of QR in this region [20,21].

How is *mab-5* normally activated in QL but not in QR? These two neuroblasts occupy similar positions along the anterior-posterior axis and have equivalent ancestries in the embryonic lineage [22]. Recent work suggests that the difference resides in Wnt signaling [23^{••}]. Mutations in the Wnt homolog *egl-20*, the Wnt receptor homolog *lin-17*,

Figure 3



Regulation of organ shape by the GON-1 metalloprotease. (a) Localized expression of GON-1 (red) in the leader cell (LC) allows migration and gonadal arm extension. (b) Expression of GON-1 by body wall muscle (red circle) allows uniform expansion of the developing gonad. or the β -catenin homolog *bar-1* result in a loss of *mab-5* expression in QL. In contrast, a mutation in *pyr-1*, an inhibitor of Wnt signaling, results in ectopic expression of *mab-5* in QR [23^{••}]. A major question is how Wnt signaling differentially activates *mab-5* expression in QL. One simple hypothesis is that the *egl-20* Wnt signal is asymmetrically distributed along the left–right axis.

Global guidance along the dorsal-ventral axis

Three C. elegans genes, unc-5, unc-6, and unc-40, are critical for dorsal-ventral migrations of both cells and axons [24]. A flurry of work since that classic paper has shown that these three genes belong to a system of guidance regulators whose sequence and function are conserved from worms to humans [25]. Best known are C. elegans UNC-6 and its vertebrate homolog netrin [26]. These laminin-related proteins are spatially restricted to the ventral region and are used to guide cell and axonal migration along the dorsal-ventral axis [27,28]. Both unc-5 and unc-40 encode cell surface receptors implicated in UNC-6-dependent migrations [29,30]. Intriguingly, the UNC-5 receptor promotes dorsal migration in response to netrin, whereas the UNC-40 receptor promotes primarily ventral migration in response to the same signal [24]. Work with these receptors in several organisms has recently shown that the key to this difference in the two receptors resides in their intracellular domains [31••,32••].

In a genetic screen for suppressors of ectopic netrin signaling in C. elegans, additional genes have been identified that function in dorsal-ventral guidance [33]. One such gene is unc-129, which encodes a member of the transforming growth factor β (TGF β) superfamily [34^{••}]. The *unc-129* gene is normally expressed dorsally and loss of UNC-129 function disrupts dorsal axon migration. In addition, forced misexpression of unc-129 in the ventral musculature inhibits the dorsal migration of a different cell-type, the gonadal leader cells [34.]. This situation is reminiscent of that in Drosophila, where TGF β signals also influence dorsal-ventral migration [7,35]. The use of both netrin and TGF β signaling systems for dorsal-ventral guidance (Figure 2) could therefore be conserved. The existence of two systems for the same purpose could be used to reinforce guidance along the dorsal-ventral axis as well as to provide more flexibility in the regulation of these movements.

Global guidance along the anterior-posterior axis

In contrast to the dorsal-ventral axis, identification of a global guidance system for the anterior-posterior axis has been more elusive. Nonetheless, two components of such a system have recently been identified. The *vab-8* gene affects multiple posterior migrations [36], acts cell-autonomously and encodes a cytoplasmic protein with distant similarity to kinesin [37^{••}]. Therefore, VAB-8 itself is not a guidance cue but is more likely to be involved in the cellular response to such cues. In contrast, the *mig-13* gene affects anterior migrations [19], acts non-autonomously and encodes a novel transmembrane protein [17^{••}]. Expression of *mig-13* is normally restricted to the anterior and central regions of the animal (Figure 2) [17^{••}] but uniform expression of *mig-13* can rescue migration towards the anterior nonetheless. Therefore, either MIG-13 is not itself a directional cue or its activity requires some other component localized to the anterior. Interestingly, the dose of MIG-13 appears to affect the extent to which cells migrate toward the anterior [17^{••}]. Such a dose-dependence of MIG-13 might explain how cells migrating along the anterior–posterior axis are stopped at specific points along the body axis that are not associated with any known cellular landmark.

The intracellular response to signals directing cell migration

How are guidance cues translated by the cell to achieve cell migration? Small Ras-like GTPases, including Rho, Rac and Cdc42, are part of the cellular machinery required for remodeling the actin cytoskeleton and generating membranous extensions such as those at the leading edge of a migrating cell [38]. The significance of these small GTPases for cell migration and axon outgrowth has been confirmed in C. elegans [39], Drosophila [40,41] and mouse [42]. In C. elegans, the function of Rac has been examined using both null and activated forms of the Rac-like GTPase encoded by mig-2 [39]. Intriguingly, the activated form inhibited cell migration in numerous cells, including the Q neuroblasts, whereas the absence of mig-2 resulted in a decrease in the rate of migration of a subset of affected cells. Redundancy is the simplest explanation of this result. A second C. elegans gene critical for cell migrations, unc-73, encodes a guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) that can activate Rac [43.]. As the expression patterns of unc-73 and mig-2 overlap, the mig-2 GTPase might be a native target of the unc-73 GEF.

An exciting new link in the Rac story comes from analysis of the C. elegans cell death gene, ced-5. Mutations in ced-5 affect two seemingly different processes: engulfment of cell corpses after programmed cell death and gonadal leader cell migration [44.]. The *ced-5* gene encodes an ortholog of the mammalian DOCK180 and Drosophila myoblast city (MBC) proteins. This class of protein physically interacts with an adaptor protein called c-CRKII [45], which has been implicated in the control of cell migration [46]. Recently, DOCK180 has been found to interact directly with the GTPase Rac in vitro [47,48] and MBC appears to act in concert with Rac in vivo to influence cell shape [48]. Therefore, the CED-5/DOCK180/MBC family might provide a crucial link between the extracellular environment and intracellular regulators of cell shape and motility.

Metalloproteases and cell migration

Migrating cells often pass through a barrier of extracellular matrix and therefore matrix-degrading enzymes have been predicted to play a key role in cell migration [49]. Direct evidence for a role of metalloproteases in cell migration *in vivo* has been lacking until recently.

At least two metalloproteases control migration of the gonadal leader cells in *C. elegans* ($[50^{\bullet\bullet}, 51^{\bullet\bullet}, 52]$; K Nishiwaki, personal communication]. These migratory leader cells control formation of the extended U-shaped gonadal arm. Leader cell migration and arm extension occur within the confines of a basement membrane, which must be remodeled during migration and extension. The gon-1 gene is crucial for migration of the gonadal leader cells [50^{••}]. In wild-type animals, the leader cells migrate hundreds of microns, whereas in gon-1(0) null mutants, the leader cells do not move at all. The gon-1 mutants also have defects in gonadogenesis unrelated to leader cell migration [50^{••}], suggesting that gon-1 might play at least two roles in gonadogenesis.

The gon-1 gene encodes a secreted metalloprotease of a small family characterized by both a metalloprotease domain and one or more thrombospondin (TSP) type 1 repeats [51^{••}]. The TSP type 1 repeats are likely to anchor GON-1 to the extracellular matrix and thereby localize its metalloprotease activity. A gon-1 reporter transgene is expressed in both leader cells and body wall muscle [51.]. Expression of gon-1 from different promoters has dramatically different effects on gonadal shape. When gon-1 is expressed in the leader cells of a gon-1(0) mutant, their migration is rescued and gonadal arms extend normally. By contrast, when gon-1 is expressed in muscle of a gon-1(0)mutant, no leader cell migration is observed but instead the gonadal tissues expand uniformly along all axes. Therefore, leader cell expression provides a localized activity essential for leader cell migration, whereas muscle expression provides a more dispersed activity required for uniform tissue growth (Figure 3). Although the GON-1 target is not yet known, one possibility is that GON-1 cleaves components of the extracellular matrix, a process that permits both migration through that matrix and tissue expansion. This idea is consistent with cleavage of collagen and the proteoglycan aggrecan by two vertebrate GON-1 homologs, procollagen I N-proteinase [53] and aggrecanase [54], respectively. Alternatively, GON-1 may cleave regulators that permit cell migration and tissue growth.

The *mig-17* gene (K Nishiwaki, personal communication), encodes a second metalloprotease involved in migration of the gonadal leader cell. Unlike *gon-1*, which is required for cell migration *per se*, *mig-17* influences the route of migration: in *mig-17* mutants, cells migrate in an unguided fashion [52]. Although the role of *mig-17* in cell migration is not yet understood, it might function in processing guidance cues or for interactions of the leader cells with their substrate as they migrate.

Conclusions and future directions

Cell migration is controlled by a combination of guidance cues, their receptors and the intracellular machinery responsible for driving cell movement. In addition, metalloproteases can influence cell migration and organ morphogenesis. Although the identification of these various migration regulators represents a major advance, many gaps remain in our understanding. It is of utmost importance to forge the link between the extracellular molecules that regulate migrations and the molecules that execute the motility response. That link has not yet been made, but is now approachable with the tools currently available both *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- . of outstanding interest
- Antebi A, Norris CR, Hedgecock EM, Garriga G: Cell and growth cone migrations. In C. elegans II. Edited by Riddle DL, Blumenthal T, Meyer BJ, Priess JR. Cold Spring Harbor: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 1997:583-609.
- Montell DJ: The genetics of cell migration in Drosophila melanogaster and Caenorhabditis elegans development. Development 1999, 126:3035-3046.
- Brenner S: The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 1974, 77:71-94.
- The C. elegans Sequencing Consortium: Genome sequence of the
 nematode C. elegans: a platform for investigating biology. Science 1998, 282:2012-2018.

This paper describes the completion of the *C. elegans* genome sequence and its implications.

- Guo S, Kemphues KJ: par-1, a gene required for establishing polarity in C. elegans embryos, encodes a putative Ser/Thr kinase that is asymmetrically distributed. Cell 1995, 81:611-620.
- 6. Fire A, Xu S, Montgomery MK, Kostas SA, Driver SE, Mello CC:
- Potent and specific genetic interference by double-stranded RNA in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nature* 1998, **391**:806-811.

This paper describes the use of exogenously applied double-stranded RNA to inhibit gene function.

- Chen EB, Stern MJ: Understanding cell migration guidance: lessons from sex myoblast migration in *C. elegans. Trends Genetics* 1998, 14:322-327.
- 8. Metzger RJ, Krasnow MA: Genetic control of branching morphogenesis. *Science* 1999, **284**:1635-1639.
- 9. Hogan BLM: Morphogenesis. Cell 1999, 96:225-233.
- Burdine RD, Chen EB, Kwok SF, Stern MJ: egl-17 encodes an invertebrate fibroblast growth factor family member required specifically for sex myoblast migration in Caenorhabditis elegans. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1997, 94:2433-2437.
- DeVore DL, Horvitz HR, Stern MJ: An FGF receptor signaling pathway is required for the normal cell migrations of the sex myoblasts in *C. elegans* hermaphrodites. *Cell* 1995, 83:611-620.
- 12. Burdine RD, Branda CS, Stern MJ: EGL-17(FGF) expression

 coordinates the attraction of the migrating sex myoblasts with vulval induction in C. elegans. Development 1998, 125:1083-1093.
 This paper describes two sources of EGL-17/FGF for controlling SM migration, vulval cells and somatic gonadal cells. In addition, EGL-17 is shown to play an instructive role in the migration of the sex myoblasts.

- Kokel M, Borland CZ, DeLong L, Horvitz HR, Stern MJ: *clr-1* encodes a receptor tyrosine phosphatase that negatively regulates an FGF receptor signaling pathway in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genes Dev* 1998, 12:1425-1437.
- McGinnis W, Krumlauf R: Homeobox genes and axial patterning. Cell 1992, 68:283-302.
- Salser SJ, Kenyon C: Patterning C. elegans: homeotic cluster genes, cell fates and cell migrations. Trends Genetics 1994, 10:159-164.
- Studer M, Lumsden A, Ariza-McNaughton L, Bradley A, Krumlauf R: Altered segmental identity and abnormal migration of motor neurons in mice lacking Hoxb-1. *Nature* 1996, 384:630-634.

 Sym M, Robinson N, Kenyon C: MIG-13 positions migrating cells
 along the anteroposterior body axis of *C. elegans. Cell* 1999, 98:25-36.

This paper identifies MIG-13, a component of the global anterior–posterior guidance system that acts non-autonomously. The level of MIG-13 protein is proposed to regulate the final positions of migrating cells.

- Salser SJ, Kenyon C: Activation of a C. elegans Antennapedia homologue in migrating cells controls their direction of migration. Nature 1992, 355:255-258.
- Harris J, Honigberg L, Robinson N, Kenyon C: Neuronal cell migration in C. elegans: regulation of Hox gene expression and cell position. Development 1996, 122:3117-3131.
- Clark SG, Chisholm AD, Horvitz HR: Control of cell fates in the central body region of *C. elegans* by the homeobox gene *lin-39*. *Cell* 1993, 74:43-55.
- Wang BB, Muller-Immergluck MM, Austin J, Robinson NT, Chisholm A, Kenyon C: A homeotic gene cluster patterns the anteroposterior body axis of *C. elegans*. *Cell* 1993, 74:29-42.
- Sulston JE, Schierenberg E, White JG, Thomson JN: The embryonic cell lineage of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Dev Biol* 1983, 100:64-119.
- Maloof JN, Shangbo J, Harris JM, Jongeward GD, Kenyon C: A Wnt
 signaling pathway controls Hox gene expression and neuroblast

migration in *C. elegans.* Development 1999, **126**:37-49. The authors show that *mab*-5 expression in the QL neuroblast depends on

What signaling.

- Hedgecock EM, Culotti JG, Hall DH: The unc-5, unc-6, and unc-40 genes guide circumferential migrations of pioneer axons and mesodermal cells on the epidermis in *C. elegans.* Neuron 1990, 2:61-85.
- Culotti JG, Merz DC: DCC and netrins. Curr Opin Cell Biol 1998, 10:609-613.
- Serafini T, Kennedy TE, Galko MJ, Mirzayan C, Jessell TM, Tessier-Lavigne M: The netrins define a family of axon outgrowthpromoting proteins homologous to *C. elegans* UNC-6. *Cell* 1994, 78:409-424.
- Ishii N, Wadsworth WG, Stern BD, Culotti JG, Hedgecock EM: UNC-6, a laminin-related protein, guides cell and pioneer axon migrations in *C. elegans. Neuron* 1992, 9:873-881.
- Wadsworth WG, Bhatt H, Hedgecock EM: Neuroglia and pioneer neurons express UNC-6 to provide global and local netrin cues for guiding migrations in *C. elegans.* Neuron 1996, 16:35-46.
- Leung-Hagesteijn C, Spence AM, Stern BD, Zhou Y, Su M-W, Hedgecock EM, Culotti JG: UNC-5, a transmembrane protein with immunoglobulin and thrombospondin type 1 domains, guides cell and pioneer axon migrations in *C. elegans. Cell* 1992, 71:289-299.
- Chan SS-Y, Zheng H, Su M-W, Wilk R, Killeen MT, Hedgecock EM, Culotti JG: UNC-40, a *C. elegans* homolog of DCC (deleted in colorectal cancer), is required in motile cells responding to UNC-6 netrin cues. *Cell* 1996, 87:187-195.
- Bashaw GJ, Goodman CS: Chimeric axon guidance receptors: the
 cytoplasmic domains of slit and netrin receptors specify attraction versus repulsion. *Cell* 1999, 97:917-926.

The *Drosophila* ventral midline expresses both attractive and repulsive migrational cues. By engineering chimeric receptor molecules, the authors show that attraction versus repulsion is mediated by the intracellular domains of the receptors.

- 32. Hong K, Hinck L, Nishiyama M, Poo M-m, Tessier-Lavigne M, Stein E:
- •• A ligand-gated association between cytoplasmic domains of UNC5 and DCC family receptors converts netrin-induced growth cone attraction to repulsion. *Cell* 1999, **97**:927-941.

This paper examines the roles of UNC-5 and UNC-40 like receptors in mediating the attractive and repulsive activities of netrin. They present evidence that the cytoplasmic domains of these two receptors are able to physically interact with one another and that presence of the UNC-5 cytoplasmic region is sufficient to convert attractive behavior into repulsive behavior.

 Colavita A, Culotti JG: Suppressors of ectopic UNC-5 growth cone steering identify eight genes involved in axon guidance in Caenorhabditis elegans. Dev Biol 1998, 194:72-85. 34. Colavita A, Krishna S, Zheng H, Padgett RW, Culotti JG: Pioneer
 axon guidance by UNC-129, a C. elegans TGF-β. Science 1998, 281:706-709.

A member of the TGF β family of growth factors is shown to play a role in the dorsal directed movement of cells and axons. Normally expressed dorsally, ectopic expression of *unc-129* in ventral muscle results in defects in the dorsal migration of gonadal leader cells.

- Vincent S, Ruberte E, Grieder NC, Chen C-K, Haerry T, Schuh R, Affolter M: DPP controls tracheal cell migration along the dorsoventral body axis of the *Drosophila* embryo. *Development* 1997, 124:2741-2750.
- Wightman B, Clark SG, Taskar AM, Forrester WC, Maricq AV, Bargmann CI, Garriga G: The *C. elegans* gene vab-8 guides posteriorly directed axon outgrowth and cell migration. *Development* 1996, 122:671-682.
- 37. Wolf FW, Hung M-S, Wightman B, Way J, Garriga G: vab-8 is a key
- regulator of posteriorly directed migrations in *C. elegans* and encodes a novel protein with kinesin motor similarity. *Neuron* 1998, 20:655-666.

This paper shows that *vab-8*, a gene postulated to be part of a global anterior-posterior guidance system, encodes an intracellular protein with distant homology to kinesin.

- Hall A: Rho GTPases and the actin cytoskeleton. Science 1998, 279:509-514.
- Zipkin ID, Kindt RM, Kenyon CJ: Role of a new Rho family member in cell migration and axon guidance in *C. elegans. Cell* 1997, 90:883-894.
- Luo L, Liao YJ, Jan LY, Jan YN: Distinct morphogenetic functions of similar small GTPases: Drosophila Drac1 is involved in axonal outgrowth and myoblast fusion. Genes Dev 1994, 8:1787-802.
- Murphy AM, Montell DJ: Cell type-specific roles for Cdc42, Rac, and RhoL in Drosophila oogenesis. J Cell Biol 1996, 133:617-630.
- Luo L, Hensch TK, Ackerman L, Barbel S, Jan LY, Jan YN: Differential effects of the Rac GTPase on Purkinje cell axons and dendritic trunks and spines. *Nature* 1996, 379:837-840.
- 43. Steven R, Kubiseski TJ, Zheng H, Kulkarni S, Mancillas J, Ruiz Morales A,
- Hogue CWV, Pawson T, Culotti J: UNC-73 activates the Rac GTPase and is required for cell and growth cone migrations in C. elegans. Cell 1998, 92:785-795.

Cloning of *unc*-73 reveals that it encodes a Rac specific guanine nucleotide exchange factor, reinforcing the role of small G proteins in the mechanics of cell migration.

 44. Wu YC, Horvitz HR: C. elegans phagocytosis and cell-migration
 protein CED-5 is similar to human DOCK180. Nature 1998, 392:501-504.

The *ced-5* gene is required for both phagocytosis and gonadal leader cell migration. The identification of CED-5 as a homolog of human DOCK180 could provide a key link between extracellular signaling and modulation of cytoskeleton via the Rac protein.

- Hasegawa H, Kiyokawa E, Tanaka S, Nagashima K, Gotoh N, Shibuya M, Kurata T, Matsuda M: DOCK180, a major CRK-binding protein, alters cell morphology upon translocation to the cell membrane. *Mol Cell Biol* 1996, 16:1770-1776.
- Klemke RL, Leng J, Molander R, Brooks PC, Vuori K, Cheresh DA: CAS/Crk coupling serves as a 'molecular switch' for induction of cell migration. J Cell Biol 1998, 140:961-972.
- Nolan KM, Barrett K, Lu Y, Hu KQ, Vincent S, Settleman J: Myoblast city, the Drosophila homolog of DOCK180/CED-5, is required in a Rac signaling pathway utilized for multiple developmental processes. Genes Dev 1998, 12:3337-3342.
- Kiyokawa E, Hashimoto Y, Kobayashi S, Sugimura H, Kurata T, Matsuda M: Activation of Rac1 by a Crk SH3-binding protein, DOCK180. Genes Dev 1998, 12:3331-3336.
- Shapiro SD: Matrix metalloproteinase degradation of extracellular matrix: biological consequences. Curr Opin Cell Biol 1998, 10:602-608.
- 50. Blelloch R, Anna-Arriola SS, Gao D, Li Y, Hodgkin J, Kimble J: The
 gon-1 gene is required for morphogenesis of the *C. elegans* gonad. *Dev Biol* 1999, in press.

This work shows that *gon-1* is required for cell migration of the gonadal leader cell and for gonadal morphogenesis. Most migration regulators affect where or when a cell migrates, but *gon-1* gene controls whether or not the leader cell migrates.

- 51. Blelloch R, Kimble J: Control of organ shape by a secreted
- •• metalloprotease in the nematode *C. elegans. Nature* 1999, **399**:586-590.

This paper reports that, *gon-1* encodes a secreted metalloprotease and demonstrates that the site of *gon-1* expression influences gonadal shape.

- Nishiwaki K: Mutations affecting symmetrical migration of distal tip cells in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 1999, 152:985-997.
- Colige A, Li S-W, Sieron AL, Nusgens BV, Prockop DJ, Lapière CM: cDNA cloning and expression of bovine procollagen I Nproteinase: a new member of the superfamily of zincmetalloproteinases with binding sites for cells and other matrix components. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1997, 94:2374-2379.
- Tortorella MD, Burn TC, Pratta MA, Abbaszade I, Hollis JM, Liu R, Rosenfeld SA, Copeland RA, Decicco CP, Wynn R et al.: Purification and cloning of aggrecanase-1: a member of the ADAMTS family of proteins. Science 1999, 284:1664-1666.